Capital budgeting

Corporate Finance

The idea

 A company creates value by investing in a new asset/project if the present value of incremental cash-flows at the appropriate discount rate exceeds the acquisition cost

 Discount rate: opportunity cost of capital (= return on foregone projects)



Unlevered free cash flows to the firm

```
Unlevered FCFF = EBIT(1-\tau) \\ + Dep \\ -Investment in fixed assets \\ -\Delta Working Capital
```

- au is the tax rate the corporation faces on its income
- $I = Investment in fixed assets + \Delta Working Capital$
- These are the cash-flows a debt-free corporation would have available for distribution to all its stake-holders'



Free cash flows to equity

$$FCFE = Unlevered FCFF$$
-Interest($I-\tau$)+Net Borrowing

 These are the cash-flows the corporation would have available for distribution to equity holders



Project level incremental FCF (operations)

```
Incremental Unlevered FCFF =
Change in EBIT(I-\tau)
+Change in Dep
-Change in fixed investment
-Change in \Delta WK
```



Project level incremental FCFE (operations)

Incremental FCFE =

Incremental Unlevered FCFF

-Change in Interest($I-\tau$)

-Change in Net Borrowing



Cash flows from reversion

- Incremental *Unlevered* FCFF from reversion = Net disposition/salvage price
 - Transaction costs (broker fee, e.g.)
 - -Capital gains taxes
 - -Depreciation recapture taxes

Incremental FCFE from reversion = Incremental Unlevered FCFF from reversion

- Debt principal due on sale



Bottom line

- Invest if the present value of incremental Unlevered FCFF discounted at WACC exceeds the cost of the investment
- 2. Invest if the PV of incremental FCFE discounted at the required return on equity exceeds the equity cost (=cost of the investment –investment by debt-holders)
- 3. That is, either way you measure NPV, invest if:

NPV of the investment ≥ 0



Capital budgeting credo

I. Ignore sunk costs

Ex: marketing study performed two years ago is not part of NPV calculation today

2. Include all opportunity costs

Ex: value of land the corporation already owns is part of NPV calculation today

- 3. Get a good tax accountant
 - Ex: cost segregation or default schedule?
- 4. Spend your time on make-or-break assumptions (= assumptions about which you are most uncertain AND move the dial)



Example 1: building a plastic injection plant

- Download case from my webpage
- Build the corresponding pro-forma



Example 2: buy or lease

- In our plastic injection plant example, assume that the corporation can lease the facility instead of building it
- Lease set-up (brokers, repurpose...) costs are \$1M
- Lease is \$1.2m a year
- Corporation could finance 100% of facility purchase at 8%
- Should the corporation buy or lease?
- Two steps (this part never changes):
 - What are incremental cash flows?
 - 2. What is the appropriate discount rate?



Example 3: Leveraged buy-outs (LBOs)



Multiple projects

- Among mutually exclusive projects, pick the one with the highest NPV
- Even if the projects are of very different sizes, this is a (tautologically) sound decision rule as long as the opportunity cost of capital is properly measured
- Why?
- All independent projects with positive NPV should be undertaken



Company WACC vs project WACC

- Using a company WACC in capital budgeting makes intuitive sense if:
 - 1. The project is typical for the company
 - The project's financing matches the company's preferred/target capital structure
- When the project is not typical, one solution (pure play) is:
 - Measure the unlevered beta's of company's that invest in this type of project
 - 2. Relever that beta using the target capital structure and measure the cost of equity accordingly
 - Build WACC using resulting equity cost, our cost of debt, and target financing mix
- This is approximated in practice by using division or segment WACCs



Project financing

- If a financing mix different from our target structure is used for a particular project, should we use that mix in building WACC?
- Standard answer is no, and that answer mostly makes sense
- What are possible exceptions to that textbook logic?



Mid-point convention

- We have treated (=discounted) cash-flows as if they come at the end of each accounting period
- In reality, of course, they come throughout the period
- If cash-flows are uniform during period [t, t+1] the exact discount rate is

$$\int_{t}^{t+1} e^{-rs} ds = \frac{e^{-rt}}{r} (1 - e^{-r})$$

For r small, this is decently approximated by

$$\frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-0.5}}$$



Accuracy of mid-point convention

